

INTERMEDIATE SELF-DESCRIPTION BY DIMENSION

Please include this form with midterm

Name:	Date:
Supervisor:	
Theraplay Institute Reviewer:	Date:

This document is designed to help students reflect on **their body of work** and identify their own areas of strength and improvement. The feedback and evaluation from the direct supervisor and the Theraplay reviewer are intended to provide a concrete response to the student's work so that the student understands their level of skill.

PLEASE READ INSTRUCTIONS BELOW BEFORE COMPLETING

SUPERVISORS: If you have deemed your student ready to submit their Midterm, please provide your evaluation using the provided KEY as outlined below; include comments to explain your evaluation. *NOTE:You should review the total body of work, not just the session submitted*. This form will need to be completed independently. Please forward your individual evaluation form with comments to The Theraplay® Institute.

STUDENTS: For each category, please provide rating and a brief explanation of your own evaluation using the space provided. Please use the provided KEY as outlined below. *NOTE:You should review your total body of work, not just the session submitted*. This form will need to be completed independently. Please forward your individual evaluation form with comments to The Theraplay® Institute. Once both you and your supervisor have completed your part it will be passed on to a Theraplay reviewer to complete evaluation.

Students are required to meet Medium skill level or above for each category to achieve Intermediate Certification as a Theraplay Practitioner. Since each category is a discrete skill set, any category below Medium will need adequate attention in order to meet Intermediate requirements so that Final level will be sufficient for the student to master and integrate all skill sets for Full Certification.

KEY for rating:

"L" = Low skill development; minimal development of this skill has taken place within supervision. Needs further supervision in order to progress to a skill level commensurate with intermediate level of certification "LM" = Is aware that this skill is an area of growth. Has begun actively working on the skill, but needs more support before moving on to the Final level of practicum.

"M" = Medium skill development. The practitioner has some level of skill in this area and implements it at least 60% of the time with their clients. Consider if the final level of practicum will be sufficient for this practitioner to build their skills adequately for full certification.

"MH"= Shows significant ability to understand and accurately apply the specific skill, but needs continued focus on its integration and application in order for it to be used more consistently.

"H" = High skill development, the practitioner consistently and reliably applies this skill in a variety of ways with a variety of different types of clients. *This rating may apply to some of the skills/dimensions, but would not likely apply across the board at a Midterm level!*

STRUCTURE

Picture in mind: 'Dance Instructor'

STRUCTURE; SETTING	SELF-RATING	SUPERVISOR RATING	TTI REVIEWER
1. The seating is both comfortable and supportive/grounding?			
2. Distracting elements have been removed or concealed?			
3. Materials at hand for the student practitioner, but not displayed distractingly?			
4. Accommodations considered where possible for any sensory or trauma issues?			
5. Camera position allows for interactions and facial expressions to be seen?			

STRUCTURE; SESSION GOALS	SELF-RATING	SUPERVISOR RATING	TTI REVIEWER
 Are specific enough to be both doable and measurable? 			
2. Are planned sequentially to serve as 'stepping stones' toward reaching the overall goals?			

STRUCTURE; SESSION ACTIVITIES PLAN	SELF-RATING	<u>SUPERVISOR</u> <u>RATING</u>	TTI REVIEWER
1. Does the session adhere to TTI "Sequence of a session"? Are all elements present? [If any modifications made, student should explain on the Session Supervision form] (check all that apply) Special entrance Check in/care for hurts: Series of Goal Directed Activities Up/Down regulation Feeding/nurture Prep for leaving Special exit			
2. Does the Entrance set a Structured/Engaging tone for the whole session?			
3 . There is a rhythm to the plan (paced up-&-down regulation), beginning by responding contingently to the child's physical & emotional state?			
4. There is a 'flow' to the session (moving through an activity and transitioning smoothly into the next activity) rather than being choppy/disjointed?			
5. Chosen activities include the basic interactional patterns that foster attachment?			
a. <u>Serve and return</u> interactions?			
b. <u>Synchronous movement</u> interactions?			
c. Use of <u>structuring touch</u> to guide, regulate, redirect?			

d. Intentional moments of eye contact to foster comfort with eye contact?		
e. Proximity: student practitioner positioning parent close to child & self close to child/parent?		
f. Able to make needed adaptations, then to transition back into original or modified plan?		
6. Skill has developed in keeping words at a minimum to maintain a primary Intersubjective experience that fosters attachment?		

[STRUCTURE] re: Student's overall structure skills per the above items

Please provide a brief explanation of your ratings:

Supervisor's Supporting Comments:

TTI Reviewer Feedback:

ENGAGEMENT

Picture in mind: 'Warm, welcoming, understanding Host'

Engagement	SELF-RATING	SUPERVISOR RATING	TTI REVIEWER
1. Student sits close enough to parent & child to allow use of touch and promote eye contact with child and parent (per consideration of cultural/trauma history needs) ?			
2. Good use of own <u>Social Engagement</u> <u>System (SES) via facial expression, body orientation, vocal tone/volume/pace, & manner?</u>			
3. Student maintains a relational connection* with child (<i>or</i> parent with child) from Entrance to Exit? [*via the various aspects of SES]?			
4. Displays growing attunement to child's verbal & nonverbal cues, & works to repair any misattunements?			
5 . Uses touch in activities to introduce touch as logical/natural/helpful/safe?			
6. Shows 'in-the-moment' awareness of the child's inner experience & makes helpful adjustments per the child's history & sensory issues?			
7. Shows development of techniques to regulate self & other at times while maintaining the engagement/connection?			

Supervisor's Supporting Comments:

TTI Reviewer Feedback:

Recommendations for increasing competence:

NURTURE

Picture in mind: 'A caring, thoughtful friend'

NURTURE	SELF-RATING	SUPERVISOR RATING	TTI REVIEWER
 Has developed 'good enough' comfort with nurturing touch to be able to deliver it in a variety of logical and natural ways? 			

2. Plans & responds in a variety of ways that show care/ compassion and to promote soothing of distress/over-escalation?		
3. Takes responsibility for any mishap and its repair?		
4. Fosters nurturing, in some form, from parent/caregiver to child from the beginning of treatment?		
5. Has planned helpful transitions into moments of nurture and allows adequate time for nurturing moments to occur?		
6. Avoids interactions that may distract from those nurturing moments (e.g., talking, silliness, use of a book/activity that disconnects child from caregiver during feeding time)?		
7. Gently redirects as needed to promote a quiet, cuddle time for caregiver and child?		

[NURTURE] re: Student's overall nurture skills per the above items

Please provide a brief explanation of your ratings:

Recommendations for increasing competence:

CHALLENGE

Picture in mind: 'Wise, encouraging, mentoring coach'

CHALLENGE	SELF-RATING	SUPERVISOR RATING	TTI REVIEWER
 Student is able to adapt challenges to fit the child's capacities in both level of competence and level of confidence? 			
2. For children who are over-challenged or fear failure/shame, student shows some awareness of using such techniques as 'the illusion of challenge'; reframing the child's caution/'resistance' as a thoughtful attribute; adapting the challenge ; doing it with the parent first so the child can observe before doing?			
3. Student is able to use partnering of the child and parent in a manner that allows them to experience working together/being a team?			
4. Student has developed ways to elicit affirmation from the parent(s) and to demonstrate the confidence that gives to the child?			
5. Able to vary an activity in order to extend child's window of tolerance while remaining in the zone of proximal development?			

[CHALLENGE] re: Student's overall challenge skills per the above items

<u>Please provide a brief explanation of your rating:</u>

Supervisor's Supporting Comments:

TTI Reviewer Feedback:

Recommendations for increasing competence:

WORKING WITH PARENTS

Picture in mind: 'Parallel process'

Note that working with a caregiver is a parallel process to how your supervisor works/worked with you as well as how you wish to see the caregiver work with the child. We are all helping each other to look with a new perspective and to reach out in new ways that will help us to better regulate, relate, and feel better in the process.

WORKING W/ PARENTS	SELF-RATING	<u>SUPERVISOR</u> <u>RATING</u>	TTI REVIEWER
 Affirms/respects parent's presence, even in early stage observation by helping parent 			

provide a 'grounding' touchstone presence ; by finding small ways for the caregiver to "assist"; &/or by including the parent as a play partner in some of the planned activities (which can be practiced in the Parent Demo Session)?		
2. Projects relaxed proximity and manner plus warm SES with both parent and child within treatment sessions?		
3. Has developed one or more ways to guide caregivers away from too much talk and from asking questions of the therapist during the dyadic treatment sessions?		
4. Guides/equips the caregiver step by step as parent moves into participation stage, including helping parent learn the child's cues and their meaning?		
5. Is able to confidently intervene to avoid or to repair a mishap and to follow up with an affirmation to or about the parent as soon as the parent shows any improvement in that interaction with the child?		
6. Shows ability to support the parent toward competence and confidence in new patterns of interaction?		
7. Shows an understanding of parent work as a 'parallel process' to working with the child?		

[WORKING WITH PARENTS] re: Student's overall skills in working with parents

Please provide a brief explanation of your ratings:

TTI Reviewer Feedback:

Recommendations for increasing competence:

SELF-REFLECTION SKILL

Picture in mind: 'Curious student, open to learning and self discovery'

SELF-REFLECTION SKILL	SELF-RATING	<u>SUPERVISOR</u> <u>RATING</u>	TTI REVIEWER
1. Session plans relate to identified treatment goals from Intake information, MIM, and other assessments?			
2. Progression of sessions reflect progress toward goal achievement?			
3. Completes required forms & makes specific references to events as they occurred in session, with consideration of potential causes and potential responses?			
4. Displays eagerness and a desire to learn?			
5. Is open to suggestions and recommendations of the supervisor?			

6. Demonstrates an ability to reflect on personal strengths & challenges within a session & to learn from them?		
7. Is able to apply self-reflections to subsequent sessions?		
8. Integrates supervisory feedback in subsequent sessions & as relevant to other families?		
 9. Makes specific references on the session supervision form pertaining to events that happened in their session and <u>infers:</u> (a) What perhaps led to those events (i.e., result of a particular sequence? Something in the child's experience/perception in the moment? A miscue/lack of clarity from the adult? Etc) (b) A potential adjustment or repair for that event in the future? 		

[SELF-REFLECTION SKILLS] re: Student's overall skills in self-reflection Please provide a brief explanation of your rating:

Recommendations for increasing competence:

KNOWLEDGE BASE

FOR STUDENT AND SUPERVISOR ONLY

KNOWLEDGE BASE	SELF-RATING	<u>SUPERVISOR</u> <u>RATING</u>
1. Demonstrates a working understanding of attachment & regulatory theory that underlies Theraplay treatment?		
2. Demonstrates an understanding of the child's <i>underlying physiologic or psychological motives</i> rather than mere surface behaviors?		
3. Demonstrates an understanding of typical and atypical child development?		
4. Is up to date on relevant research in developmental sciences pertaining to the domains of perceptual, cognitive, social, emotional, language, and motor development?		
5. Demonstrates an understanding of sensory processing issues (SPI) and how they impact a child's development and behavior?		

-		-
	6. Seeks information related to increasing their understanding of current brain, trauma, and attachment research to support their work/practice?	
	7. Is able to integrate new information into their Theraplay work, or seeks help to do so?	
	8. Is able to generalize new information in order to apply it across their Theraplay clientele?	
	9. Demonstrates flexibility in approach to activities in response to sensory issues, trauma, & resistance?	
	10. Has an understanding of the <u>effects of the family system</u> (including awareness of indicators of IPV/DV) and of cultural influences on development & behavior?	

[KNOWLEDGE BASE] re: Student's skills in knowledge base

Please provide a brief explanation of your ratings:

Supervisor's Supporting Comments:

Recommendations for increasing competence:

MIM ASSESSMENT

FOR STUDENT AND SUPERVISOR ONLY

MIM ADMINISTRATION	SELF-RATING	SUPERVISOR RATING
 Appropriate space selected & camera can view parent & child's faces? 		
2. Appropriate tasks selected (for individual MIM; for 2 individual MIMs; for Family MIM) & organized for each MIM specifically?		
3. Provided clear instruction to the family, with a bin of envelopes placed near the adult?		

MIM ANALYSIS	SELF-RATING	SUPERVISOR RATING
 Are MIM goals specific enough to be both doable and measurable? 		
2. Lists at least 4 specific observations (reference verbal/non-verbal cues) that support conclusions and plans?		
3. Findings correlate 60% or more with supervisor's findings?		
4. MIM findings clearly highlight recommendations for treatment and guide-treatment planning process?		
5. Treatment Plans correspond to MIM Analysis that includes specific goals for treatment within appropriate dimensions?		

6. Session plans reflect understanding of identified goals and are guided by the treatment plan?	
7. Demonstrates an understanding of who and what needs to change?	

MIM FEEDBACK	SELF-RATING	SUPERVISOR RATING
1. Feedback is appropriate & sensitive?		
2. Student is able to highlight family's/dyad's strengths and illustrate them through video clips?		
3. Is able to identify areas of concern and empathically explore with caregivers during feedback sessions?		

[MIM ASSESSMENT] For student and supervisor only

Please provide a brief explanation of your rating:

Additional Comments: